

PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH RELAPSE IN ALCOHOL USE DISORDER

Ashitha M.L¹, Thalhath Paloli², Sharon Thomas³, Arati Suseelan⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Mount Zion Medical College, Adoor, Kerala, India.

²Consultant Psychiatrist, Metromind Neuropsychiatry Hospital, Kochi, Kerala, India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation, Venjaramoodu, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India.

⁴Assistant Surgeon, FHC Chettikulangara, Alappuzha, Kerala, India

Received : 20/06/2022
 Received in revised form : 27/08/2022
 Accepted : 05/09/2022

Keywords:

Relapse, Alcohol use disorder, Motivation, Stressful life events, Social support, Comorbid psychiatric disorders

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ashitha M.L,
 Email: ashithanair29@gmail.com
 ORCID: 0000-0001-7427-2488

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2022.4.4.64

Source of Support: Nil,
 Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm
 2022; 4 (4); 324-333



Abstract

Background: Alcohol related disorders are highly prevalent in the South Indian State of Kerala. This study looks into the relapse rate & factors associated with relapse in Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD). The aim are to follow up patients diagnosed with AUD at a tertiary care hospital and to assess relapse rates and factors associated with relapse at periodic time intervals, To examine the association between relapse and various factors like (demographic variables, clinical parameters, comorbid psychiatric disorders, motivation at discharge, stressful life events & perceived social support), Comparison of these factors in patients who were in relapse versus in those who abstained from alcohol. **Materials and Methods:** Hundred inpatients meeting DSM5 Criteria for AUD were included in this study. After 2 weeks of detoxification, patients were assessed for socio-demographic and clinical variables. Comorbid psychiatric illness is diagnosed using DSM5.SOCRATES, PSLES, SSQ Scales were applied at baseline. After discharge these patients were followed up at 3, 6 & 12 months for relapse. The correlation of various psychosocial factors with relapse is also assessed. **Result:** Eighty-seven patients completed the study, among which 32.2% remained abstinent for a year. The relapse rate at 3, 6 and 12months were 37.9%, 25.3% and 4.6% respectively. The annual relapse rate was 67.8% (in all groups) and the major relapse occurred in the first 3 months. Low relapse rates were noted in sub groups having higher education and from urban backgrounds. Disulfiram helped to decrease relapse significantly. Motivation and stressful life events were also found to be important factors associated with relapse. **Conclusion:** Our study shows that relapse rate is almost similar to most of the outcome studies of alcohol dependent patients with maximum relapse rate within 3 months of treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol is one of the most widely used psychoactive substances in the world.^[1] In a country wide national survey the Drug Abuse Monitoring System, found that the substances most commonly abused were alcohol (43.9%), opioids (26%) and cannabis (11.6%).^[2] Alcohol related disorders are a major health and social problem worldwide and has a waxing and waning course which makes it a chronic disease.^[3]

It is difficult to get an exact figure on the prevalence of AUD in India, as most of the studies published as much data were regional in nature.^[4] In 1998, a meta-analysis conducted by Reddy and Chandrashekar, showed an overall substance use prevalence of 6.9/1000 for India; with urban and rural rates of 5.8 and 7.3/1000 population respectively. As seen globally the rates among men were more (11.9%) as

compared to the opposite sex (1.7%).^[5] Another study conducted in southern rural India showed that 14.2% of the population surveyed had hazardous alcohol use on the audit.^[6] The prevalence of alcohol use was found to be different in different states of India (current use ranged from as low of 7% in Gujarat (the state under prohibition laws on alcohol sale) to 75% in Arunachal Pradesh.^[2] In Kerala, prevalence of alcohol consumption in Thiruvananthapuram city was estimated to be significantly high (38.4%).^[7]

Relapse is a complex process, which appears to be determined by various factors including individual, psychosocial and biological factors. Nearly a couple of decade ago, relapse was originally seen as a failure of treatment and failure of the individual in recovery.^[8] This concept has changed over time. Currently relapse has been considered as a transitional process changing over time.^[9] The occurrence of relapse is so prevalent that some

clinicians accept relapse as an inevitable part of the disease process.^[10]

Relapse is common following treatment and thus research into the nature and determinants of relapse in AUD is highly relevant clinically. A vast majority of patients relapse within a year of starting treatment, the first 3 months being the most vulnerable period.^[10,11] About 50% of the detoxified alcohol users relapse within 3 months, the estimated long term relapse rates have varied between 20% and 80%.^[8,12] Statistical study proves that approximately 90% of alcohol dependents are likely to experience at least one relapse within the 4 year period following treatment.^[13]

Relapse prevention is a major challenge in the treatment of alcoholism. Better knowledge of the factors associated with relapse enable clinicians to offer better individualized treatment and to predict which patients are likely to relapse and hence to prevent relapses in persons at risk.

As literature suggests Alcohol related disorders are highly prevalent in the South Indian State of Kerala. With this in mind we aim to look into the relapse rate and factors associated with relapse in AUD thereby helping the psychiatrist on effective relapse prevention strategies in the management of alcohol use disorder. We have conducted a prospective study to assess the relapse rate and various factors associated with relapse in alcohol use disorder. The aim of this study was to examine the association between relapse and factors like demographic variables, clinical parameters, comorbid psychiatric disorders, motivation at discharge, stressful life events and perceived social support among patients with AUD and to compare these factors in those who were in relapse (at time points 3months, 6months and 1 year) and those who remained abstinent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospectively evaluated longitudinal study was conducted in the De-addiction Clinic, of a tertiary hospital in Kerala, India. All consecutive in-patients meeting DSM5 Criteria for AUD and all the inclusion criteria were recruited over a period of 6 months, starting from January 2015. Study duration including follow up was extended to a period of 20 months. Adult Patients in the age group of 18- 60 years, male in-patients and who gave informed consent were taken in for the study. Patients with history of organic brain disease and history of polysubstance abuse were excluded.

Assuming a significance level of 5% and a power of 80% and an expected relapse rate at 3 months to be 50% the sample size was calculated to be 100. A convenience sampling technique was used.

Post enrollment patients underwent detoxification, and two weeks later they were assessed for socio-demographic variables including age, education, occupation, marital status, community and socioeconomic status. Patients were also assessed for

clinical variables including age of onset of alcohol use, duration of alcohol use disorder, previous attempt at de-addiction, family history of alcohol use. Comorbid psychiatric illness if any is diagnosed using DSM 5 criteria.

Motivation was assessed in the included sample at discharge using The Stages of Change Readiness and Treatment Eagerness Scale (SOCRATES) V-8 (Local Language – Malayalam Version) designed by Miller, W. R., & Tonigan, J. S.^[14] Stressful life events were determined using the Presumptive Stressful Life Events Scale (PSLES) by Singh G, Kaur D, Kaur H.^[15] A scale consisting of 51 life events commonly experienced by the normal Indian adult population. A score of 100 with the highest stress score and 0 with no perceived stress. In this 51 item scale, Malayalam version was used with a reliability of the scale at 0.8.^[16] Perceived social support was measured using the Social Support Questionnaire (SSQ) by Nehra R, Kulhara P.^[17] The items were written in Malayalam, contained 46 items. This scale has approximately the same number of items from each area. Answering to each item was on 4 point scale of extreme agreement,^[4] quite a bit,^[3] a little,^[2] and not at all.^[1] The test retest reliability is 0.89.^[16]

Once discharged from the hospital, these patients were followed up at 3, 6 and 12 months to look for any relapse. Patients were divided into two cohorts, in relapse and those who maintained abstinence. The relapsed group consists of patients who had maintained in a remitted state for at least two weeks following treatment, but had then restarted drinking. Those who restarted drinking within 2 weeks of discharge were considered dropouts. An episode of relapse was defined as the person meeting DSM 5 criteria for alcohol use disorder for a minimum period of 1 month. Abstinent patients will be those who had not taken alcohol since previous hospital discharge confirmed from a reliable bystander and without features suggestive of alcohol intake during evaluation. The relationship of relapse with various parameters were assessed. Comparison was done on various parameters between patients who were abstinent and those who had relapsed.

The data was entered and analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 20. Continuous variables were summarised in the form of mean and standard deviation. The categorical variables were presented as frequency and percentages, comparisons between the quantitative data were done by t-tests and categorical variables were done by chi-square tests. Percentages were worked out and tabulated for analysis. Variables with p values of <0.05 are to be considered significant. Multivariate time dependent analysis for relapse was carried out using Cox Regression and the survival curves were plotted for the most significant variable.

RESULTS

Relapse Rates

Out of 100 patients, 87 patients completed the study among which 32.2% (28 subjects) remained completely abstinent for 1 year.

37.9% (33 subjects) relapsed at 3 months follow up, 25.3% (22 subjects) relapsed at 6 months follow up and 4.6% (4 subjects) relapsed at 12 months follow up. Thus the total relapse rate is 67.8% (59 subjects) at 1 year and majority has relapsed within the first 3 months of treatment

Demographic Profile

Age Group

Majority of subjects in the study and those who relapsed belong to the 31-45 years age group (Table 1), but it was not statistically significant (p-value 0.521).

Education

Majority of patients had high school and intermediate education and there were no illiterates in the study (Table 2). The relapse rate was found to be lower in those with higher education which was statistically significant (p-value 0.034)

Occupation

Most of the subjects belong to skilled worker group but there was no significant correlation between relapse and occupational status (Table 3).

Marital status

77% of subjects were married and there was no significant correlation between marital status and relapsed drinking (Table 4).

Socioeconomic status

53 patients belonged to APL and 47 patients belong to BPL class. No significant correlation between relapse and socioeconomic class was noted

Rural Urban Distribution

78 patients belonged to rural population and 22 to urban population and in the 87 patients who completed study there was increased relapse in rural population than urban population which was statistically significant (p=0.017). (Table 5)

Clinical Profile

Age of onset of alcohol use

81% of subjects started drinking at the age group of 11-20 yrs with only 1% less than 10 yrs. The minimum age of onset of drinking was 9 yrs and maximum was at 28 yrs with mean 18.42 yrs and SD 3.782. (Table 6). There was no significant correlation between age of onset of drinking and relapse

Duration of alcohol use disorder

63% has duration of AUD from 1 to 10 yrs, and 30% from 11- 20 yrs. Very few has a total duration of >20 yrs. No statistical significance could be noted between relapse and duration of AUD (p=0.252)

Family History

66% of cases had positive family history. Relapse was found to be high in those with positive family history but not statistically significant. (Table 7)

Previous Deaddiction Treatment

53% of patients had history of previous Deaddiction Treatment. (Table 8). Those patients with a previous deaddiction treatment had increased relapse but no statistically significant association could be established

Duration of Hospital Stay

Minimum duration of hospital stay was 4 days while the maximum was 80 days with mean of 12.8 and SD of 8.432. 80% of patients left hospital within 2 weeks (Table 9). Only 2% stayed for > 30 days. There was no significant correlation between relapse and hospital stay (P= .861). No statistically significant correlation was found between duration of hospital stay and relapse

Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders

34% of subjects had comorbid psychiatric illness, most frequent association was found with bipolar disorder. Pearson Chi-Square 1.290 DF 1 P = .256. Relapse rate was found to be high in those with comorbid psychiatric disorders but was not statistically significant (p-value at 0.256)

Disulfiram Treatment

Disulfiram was started in 20 out of the 100 subjects. (Table 10). Patients treated with Disulfiram had lesser relapse rate when compared to those not initiated with disulfiram and it was found to be statistically significant (p value < 0.05)

Socrates score

SOCRATES score was found to be high in those who remained abstinent (Table 11) and was statistically significant with p-value < 0.05. PSLES Life time score was found to be significantly associated with high relapse at p-value < 0.05, relapse rate was also high among those with stressful life events in the past 1 year but it was not statistically significant (Table 12).

Social Support

The difference in social support in the relapse group and abstinent group was not found to be statistically significant. Multivariate time dependent analysis for relapse was carried out using Cox Regression and the survival curves was plotted for SOCRATES score which was the most significant variable (Table 13). It had been found that the time period for relapse was shortened in those with poor motivation (low SOCRATES score)

Table 1: Age group and Relapse

			Relapsed Age Group (Relgp)					Total	
			Lost to	Drop Out	Relapsed in 3 mo	Relapsed in 6 mo	Relapsed in 12 mo		Abstinent
AGE Group Years	18-30	Number	0	1	1	5	1	3	11
		% within RELGP	0.0%	12.5%	3.0%	22.7%	25.0%	10.7%	11.0%
	31-45	Number	3	5	23	9	2	13	55
		% within RELGP	60.0%	62.5%	69.7%	40.9%	50.0%	46.4%	55.0%
	46-60	Number	2	2	9	8	1	12	34
		% within RELGP	40.0%	25.0%	27.3%	36.4%	25.0%	42.9%	34.0%
Total		Total Number	5	8	33	22	4	28	100
		% within RELGP	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 2: Correlation between Education and Relapse

Education group (edu gp)		Relapsed status		Total
		No	Yes	
Primary school	Number	1	3	4
	% within EDU GP	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Middle school	Number	2	8	10
	% within EDU GP	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
High School	Number	9	30	39
	% within EDU GP	23.1%	76.9%	100.0%
Intermediate/ HSE	Number	11	18	29
	% within EDU GP	37.9%	62.1%	100.0%
Graduate/PG	Number	5	0	5
	% within EDU GP	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Total	Number	28	59	87
	% within EDU GP	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Table 3: Annexures Correlations between Occupation and Relapse

Occupation Group (OCCU GP)		Relapsed		Total
		No	Yes	
UNEMPLOYED	Number	7	10	17
	% within OCCU GP	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
UNSKILLED	Number	6	18	24
	% within OCCU GP	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
SEMISKILLED	Number	1	0	1
	% within OCCU GP	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SKILLED	Number	9	25	34
	% within OCCU GP	26.5%	73.5%	100.0%
SHOP OWNER/ FARMER/ CLERK	Number	3	5	8
	% within OCCU GP	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
SEMI PROFESSIONAL	Number	2	1	3
	% within OCCU GP	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Total	Number	28	59	87
	% within OCCU GP	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Table 4: Annexures: Marital Status and Relapse Rate

Marital Status		Relapsed Status		Total
		No	Yes	
UNMARRIED	Number	3	9	12
	% within MAR CODE	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
MARRIED	Number	22	46	68
	% within MAR CODE	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%
SEPARATED	Number	3	2	5
	% within MAR CODE	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
DIVORCED	Number	0	2	2
	% within MAR CODE	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	28	59	87
	% within MAR CODE	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Table 5: Annexure, Correlation between Place of Residence and Relapse

			Relapsed status		Total
			No	Yes	
Rural/Urban	Rural	Count	18	51	69
		% within Rural/Urban	26.1%	73.9%	100.0%
	URBAN	Count	10	8	18
		% within Rural/Urban	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
Total		Count	28	59	87
		% within Rural/Urban	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square 5.680 DF 1 P = .017

Table 6. Correlation between Age of Onset of Drinking and Relapse

			Relapsed Status		Total
			NO	YES	
AGE ONSET Group	1-10	Count	0	1	1
		% within AGE ONSET GP	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	11-20	Count	23	47	70
		% within AGE ONSET GP	32.9%	67.1%	100.0%
	21-30	Count	5	11	16
		% within AGE ONSET GP	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	28	59	87
		% within AGE ONSET GP	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square .496 , DF 2, P = .781

Table 7: Family History and Relapse

			Relapsed Status		Total
			NO	YES	
Family History	ABSENT	Count	13	18	31
		% within Family History	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
	PRESENT	Count	15	41	56
		% within Family History	26.8%	73.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	28	59	87
		% within Family History	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square- 2.098, DF 1, P = .147

Table 8: Previous Deaddiction treatment and Relapse rates

			Relapsed Status		Total
			.00	1.00	
Previous Deaddiction treatment	NIL	Count	15	23	38
		% within Previous Deaddiction	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
	YES	Count	13	36	49
		% within Previous Deaddiction	26.5%	73.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	28	59	87
		% within Previous Deaddiction	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square 1.643 , DF 1, P = .200

Table 9: Duration of Hospital Stay and Relapse rates

			Relapsed Status		Total
			NO	YES	
Duration of Hospital stay	0-15Days	Count	22	47	69
		%	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%
	16-30Days	Count	5	11	16
		%	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%
	>30Days	Count	1	1	2
		%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	28	59	87
		%	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Table 10: Impact of Disulfiram Treatment on Relapse

			Relapsed Status		Total
			No	Yes	
Disulfiram Treatment Status	No	Number	14	53	67
		% within disulfiram treatment	20.9%	79.1%	100.0%
	Yes	Number	14	6	20
		% within Disulfiram treatment	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Total		Number	28	59	87
		% within Disulfiram Treatment	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square 17.016 DF 1 P = .000

Table 11: SOCRATES Score and Relapse Groups Correlation

			Relapsed Status					Total	
			LOST	DROP OUT	REL IN 3 M	REL IN 6M	REL IN 12M		ABSTINENT
SOCRATES SCORE	High	Count	0	0	1	4	1	17	23
		% within relapse code	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	18.2%	25.0%	60.7%	23.0%
	Low	Count	3	7	15	3	0	2	30
		% within relapse code	60.0%	87.5%	45.5%	13.6%	0.0%	7.1%	30.0%
	medium	Count	2	1	17	15	3	9	47
		% within relapse code	40.0%	12.5%	51.5%	68.2%	75.0%	32.1%	47.0%
Total		Count	5	8	33	22	4	28	100
		% within relapse code	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Pearson Chi-Square 62.199, DF 15, P = .000

Table 12: Correlation between PSLES and Relapse

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
PSLES LIFE TIME	Between Groups	173.038	5	34.608	3.813	.003
	Within Groups	853.202	94	9.077		
	Total	1026.240	99			
PSLES 1 year	Between Groups	17.680	5	3.536	2.233	.057
	Within Groups	148.880	94	1.584		
	Total	166.560	99			

Table 13: Correlation of Various Factors of Relapse (Anova)

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Significance (P value<0.05)
Age Groups	Between Groups	.876	5	.175	.424	.831
	Within Groups	38.834	94	.413		
	Total	39.710	99			
Level of Education	Between Groups	11.573	5	2.315	3.014	.014
	Within Groups	72.187	94	.768		
	Total	83.760	99			
Marital Status	Between Groups	1.378	5	.276	.955	.450
	Within Groups	27.132	94	.289		
	Total	28.510	99			
Occupation	Between Groups	24.235	5	4.847	2.208	.060
	Within Groups	206.325	94	2.195		
	Total	230.560	99			
Age of Onset of alcohol consumption	Between Groups	.540	5	.108	.652	.661
	Within Groups	15.570	94	.166		
	Total	16.110	99			
Duration of AUD	Between Groups	1.309	5	.262	.496	.778
	Within Groups	49.601	94	.528		
	Total	50.910	99			
SSQ SCORE	Between Groups	531.118	5	106.224	.785	.563
	Within Groups	12716.322	94	135.280		
	Total	13247.440	99			
SS Re	Between Groups	907.788	5	181.558	9.652	.000

	Within Groups	1768.212	94	18.811		
	Total	2676.000	99			
SS Ts	Between Groups	954.533	5	190.907	14.801	.000
	Within Groups	1212.457	94	12.898		
	Total	2166.990	99			
SS Am	Between Groups	280.823	5	56.165	8.177	.000
	Within Groups	645.687	94	6.869		
	Total	926.510	99			

Abbreviation: SSQ Score - SSR- SS Ts SS Am

DISCUSSION

AUD is a significant stressor to one's life and the chronicity of this disorder and the high relapse rates has shown that it is a long battle to be won over time with patience and perseverance.

In our study, we had a good compliance of 95%, but with best of our efforts we were unable to trace 5 patients who were deemed lost to follow up.

The socio-demographic profile of the patients help us to understand their background and the relationship of the factors associated with relapse. Surprisingly, all of our patients were males and this could be due to the psycho-social stigma among women to approach a de-addiction clinic for help or probably due to the low rates of alcohol consumption in the population where this study was conducted. As noted in the results a majority of the patients were in the age group of 31-45 years, which is the most productive age group, but there was no correlation between age of the individual and relapse to alcohol consumption. The chances of relapse were high in unmarried and divorced individuals. Owing to the low sample size a statistical significance could not be proved, but the figures projected shows that a proper family support system is much needed in reducing relapse rates.

As this study was conducted in one of the most literate states of this country, there were no illiterate subjects and the majority of subjects had high school or intermediate level of education. The relapse rate was found to be lower in those with higher education (p-value 0.034). This could point to the important fact that it is easier to counsel the educated cohort of subjects and the pros and cons of abstinence was better understood in this group. We also noticed that the educated and employed patients (mostly skilled workers) were more receptive towards treatment, and they showed good compliance to medications and periodic hospital follow up visits. This could be the reason for improved outcome in those with higher educational and occupational status. The results of out study could be reflected to that done by Korlakunta et al, where in the employment status of alcohol relapse patients were analysed and majority were employed, hailed from rural area and were married.^[18] The purchasing power, easy access to alcohol and independent lifestyle may be the reasons for relapse.

Similar study conducted among indian males, showed most patients were in the third decade of life, and were educated to secondary level and the marital status showed many to be unmarried or divorced.^[19] Their findings were similar to ours.

Analysis into urban and rural populations, showed that relapse rate was significantly higher in rural areas and was also higher in patients living below the poverty line. Thus socioeconomic status and place of residence also play a role in relapse of alcohol use disorder.

Multiple studies have shown inconsistent results to show an association between short term remission and female gender, older age and marital status.^[11,20] As one of our aims of the study was to look into factors associated with relapse we evaluated the clinical profiles of patients with AUD and relationship with relapse.

Only one patient started his habit of drinking below 10 years of age, while 81 patients started drinking between 11-20yrs, and the rest 18 patients started drinking between 21-30 yrs. Majority of patients had their first drink at a very early age. Even though we noted that relapse was higher in those who started drinking at an early age our study was not powered to show statistical significance. To substantiate these claims, studies by Korlakunta et al and Higson et al. also showed that individuals who began drinking at younger age were more likely to experience multiple relapses.^[18,21] To note, was that in comparison to the western population majority of the patients in our study had their first drink at an early age (10-20years), and the age at first drink was at a later onset of age. In the west, the free culture and attitude towards alcohol consumption and the lack of stigma towards alcoholism could be the reason for an early onset of drinking habits.

After looking into the onset of drinking, we also analysed the duration of dependence towards alcohol. Sixty-three percent of patients had duration of Alcohol Use Disorder between 1-10yrs suggesting that most of the patients were dependent within 10 years of onset of drinking. This finding was in concordance to the studies done by Korlakunta et al and Higson et al, in which the majority of patients were dependent within 5 years and 10 years of onset of drinking respectively.^[18,21]

Literature has suggested that a positive family history is associated with relapse and 66% of subjects gave a positive family history of alcohol consumptions and the relapse rates were found to be higher, but owing to the small sample size this was not proven statistically. This could be linked due to a genetic etiology of Alcohol use disorders. Hill et al. found that children with a high family history of alcoholism have a higher risk for early onset of alcohol use.^[22] In another similar study conducted at Kerala it has been

noted that relapse is high in those with positive family history and with a high age of onset.^[23]

The reason for relapse could be any underlying co-morbid psychiatric illness and our study had 34% of subjects with comorbid psychiatric disorders commonest being bipolar disorder. Relapse rate is found to be high in those with comorbid psychiatric disorders (p-value at 0.256). Hence, family members have a definite role in providing support and care to avoid a relapse in these patients who harbour a comorbid psychiatric condition.^[24] Another Indian study by with a smaller sample size of 37 patients, reported that 64.8% of patients had comorbid psychiatric illness.^[25] In a review on co-occurring psychiatric disorders in Alcohol use Disorder it has been found that Alcohol use disorder have a particularly high rate of psychiatric comorbidities, most commonly with mood disorders, anxiety spectrum disorders and sexual dysfunction. While some studies focussed on specific psychiatric disorders such as ADHD, psychoses, mood disorders, anxiety disorders and sexual disorders, others have explored the prevalence of more than one psychiatric disorders. Diagnosis of multiple comorbidities is not infrequent, but multiple comorbidities were not found in our study.^[26] There was significant association between the reasons for relapse and comorbid diagnosis of patients in another Indian study which is similar to our findings.^[18]

Though not defined as an end point of this study, medical management of AUD patients were analysed as a subset and patients treated with disulfiram had lesser relapse rate when compared to those not initiated with disulfiram which is found to be statistically significant (p value < 0.05). Disulfiram is started only if the patient is sufficiently motivated and given written explained consent and this explains why patients initiated on disulfiram tend to remain abstinent. However controlled studies of disulfiram reveal a mixed outcome. In a meta-analysis, there is evidence in open-label studies of disulfiram efficacy in maintaining abstinence and preventing relapse. In terms of safety, there was no difference between the disulfiram and control groups in studies reporting deaths and serious adverse events requiring hospitalization.^[27] Thus disulfiram was found to be effective compared to other treatment strategies.

Fifty-three percent of patients had a history of previous De Addiction treatment. Those patients with a previous de-addiction treatment had no correlation with relapse. However, failure of previous de-addiction treatment also indicates poor motivation and poor coping strategies.

Motivation was found to be the most important factor determining relapse in Alcohol use disorders which showed strong statistical significance in our study. This illustrates the importance of assessing and improving motivation through motivational interviewing and MET. The trials funded by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA)—Project MATCH and Project COMBINE, studies examining the combination of

pharmacotherapy and psychosocial treatment—have included motivational measures and treatment components. Recent research strongly suggests the role of motivation, both intrinsic and extrinsic, in the treatment of addiction and relapse prevention.^[15]

PSLES Life time score has significant association with high relapse. Relapses are also high among those with stressful life events in the past 1yr (not proven statistically). This illustrates stressful life events as another important determining factor for relapse. In a study analyzing the association between stressful life events and relapse, events like divorce and separation were found to be significantly associated with relapse. No other stressful life event was associated with relapse according to this study.^[28]

There is no statistically significant difference in social support in the relapse group and the abstinent group, only few patients lacked social support found that psychosocial factors such as relapse precipitants (or high risk situations), coping, self-efficacy, social support and stressful life events appeared to be of greater importance in determining relapse.^[10] Dixit et al. found that abstinent group perceived better social support than relapsed groups and patients in upper socio-occupational status and less alcohol related problems perceived more social support than patients with lower socio-economic status and more alcohol related problems.^[29] Unfortunately, in our study no statistically significant correlation is found between social support and relapse. Perhaps a study with a larger sample size could be helpful in answering this question.

Long term follow up, till one year was done in these subjects and it was found that 87 patients completed the study among which 32.2% remained completely abstinent for 1 year, 37.9% relapsed at 3 months follow up, another 25.3% relapsed at 6 months follow up and another 4.6% relapsed at 12 months follow up. Thus the total relapse rate is 67.8% at 1 year and the majority had relapsed within the first 3 months of treatment. The results are similar to previous outcome study done in a Military Psychiatry setup which found that 1/3rd of patients were abstinent and 2/3rd of patients relapsed out of which 1/3rd showed partial improvement.^[30]

The relapse rate reported in literature is above 60 % during a 12 month period and it is almost similar to our study population.

The limitation of our study is that there were many factors, which are associated with relapse in AUD, including the biological factors which are not assessed here. We also acknowledge the fact that smaller sample size has restricted us from getting statistically meaningful results. The lack of female population, getting enrolled into the study, shows that it is unequally weighted to one gender and hence the findings may not be generalized to the population.

Even with effective pharmacological and psychological management, relapse in AUD remains very high. Hence it is essential to focus on the biological as well as psychosocial factors associated with relapse, as relapse prevention is the goal in the

treatment of addiction. We also suggest a genetic analysis of the subjects who have AUD and the cause could be rooted to an individual's DNA which could be a subject of active research.

CONCLUSION

The results of our study shows that certain clinical and demographic variables were reliably and consistently associated with relapse in Alcohol use disorder. Along with the demographic and clinical variables, motivation at discharge, stressful life events and social support which are the major risk factors for relapse. Among socio-demographic variables age, occupation, marital status and socioeconomic status showed no significant correlation with relapse. The association of demographic factors including educational status and rural residence with relapse were found to be statistically significant.

The motivation of patients at discharge is found to be the most reliable and important factor determining relapse which was statistically significant in our study. Medical intervention in the form of Disulfiram treatment is found to reduce relapse. Stressful life events during lifetime of an individual contributes to relapse, which was identified in our study and ways to effectively cope with them must be addressed. Even though no significant correlation is found between social support and relapse in our study, we feel social support is the cornerstone to prevent relapse.

Our study shows that the relapse rate is almost similar to most of the outcome studies of alcohol-dependent patients with a maximum relapse rate within 3 months of treatment.

Thus addiction is a chronic relapsing and remitting disorder and "RELAPSE" is the rule in addictive disorders. Our study focusses on the importance of relapse prevention in the treatment of addiction and suggests various psychosocial and clinical factors which should be addressed in order to obtain the expected outcome in the management of Alcohol Use Disorders.

REFERENCES

1. Peacock A, Leung J, Larney S, Colledge S, Hickman M, Rehm J, et al. Global statistics on alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use: 2017 status report. *Addiction*. 2018;113(10):1905-1926. doi: 10.1111/add.14234.
2. Gowing LR, Ali RL, Allsop S, Marsden J, Turf EE, West R, et al. Global statistics on addictive behaviours: 2014 status report. *Addiction*. 2015;110(6):904-19. doi: 10.1111/add.12899.
3. McKay JR, Hiller-Sturmhofel S. Treating alcoholism as a chronic disease: approaches to long-term continuing care. *Alcohol Res Health*. 2011;33(4):356-70.
4. Eashwar VMA, Umadevi R, Gopalakrishnan S. Alcohol consumption in India- An epidemiological review. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2020;9(1):49-55. doi: 10.4103/jfmpe.jfmpe_873_19.
5. Reddy VM, Chandrashekar CR. Prevalence of mental and behavioural disorders in India : a meta-analysis. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 1998;40(2):149-57.
6. John A, Barman A, Bal D, Chandy G, Samuel J, Thokchom M, et al. Hazardous alcohol use in rural southern India: nature, prevalence and risk factors. *Natl Med J India*. 2009;22(3):123-5.
7. Sukumaran AB, Vijith D, Haran JC. Prevalence of alcohol use and the interventions needed among adults: A community study in a rural area in South India. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2020;9(6):2769-2773. doi: 10.4103/jfmpe.jfmpe_38_20.
8. Kadden RM. Cognitive-Behavioral Approaches to Alcoholism Treatment. *Alcohol Health Res World*. 1994;18(4):279-286.
9. Sliedrecht W, de Waart R, Witkiewitz K, Roozen HG. Alcohol use disorder relapse factors: A systematic review. *Psychiatry Res*. 2019;278:97-115. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2019.05.038.
10. Mattoo SK, Chakrabarti S, Anjaiah M. Psychosocial factors associated with relapse in men with alcohol or opioid dependence. *Indian J Med Res*. 2009;130(6):702-8.
11. Moos RH, Moos BS. Rates and predictors of relapse after natural and treated remission from alcohol use disorders. *Addiction*. 2006;101(2):212-22. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01310.x.
12. Jin H, Rourke SB, Patterson TL, Taylor MJ, Grant I. Predictors of relapse in long-term abstinent alcoholics. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1998;59(6):640-6. doi: 10.15288/jsa.1998.59.640.
13. Soyka M, Schmidt P. Outpatient alcoholism treatment--24-month outcome and predictors of outcome. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2009;4:15. doi: 10.1186/1747-597X-4-15.
14. Lillie KM, Jansen KJ, Dirks LG, Lyons AJ, Alcover KC, Avey JP, et al. Assessing the Predictive Validity of the Stages of Change Readiness and Treatment Eagerness Scale (SOCRATES) in Alaska Native and American Indian People. *J Addict Med*. 2020;14(5):e241-e246. doi: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000661.
15. Singh G, Kaur D, Kaur H. Presumptive stressful life events scale (psles) - a new stressful life events scale for use in India. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 1984;26(2):107-14.
16. Suresh Kumar PN. An analysis of suicide attempters versus completers in kerala. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2004;46(2):144-9.
17. Kulhara P, Chopra R. Social support, social dysfunction and stressful life events in neurotic patients. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 1996;38(1):23-9.
18. Sureshkumar K, Kailash S, Dalal PK, Reddy MM, Sinha PK. Psychosocial Factors Associated with Relapse in Patients with Alcohol Dependence. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2017;39(3):312-315. doi: 10.4103/0253-7176.207337.
19. Johnson PR, Banu S, Ashok MV. Severity of alcoholism in Indian males: Correlation with age of onset and family history of alcoholism. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2010;52(3):243-9. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.70977.
20. Kuria MW. Factors associated with relapse and remission of alcohol dependent persons after community based treatment. *Open J Psychiatr*. 2013;03(02):264-72.
21. Hingson RW, Heeren T, Winter MR. Age at drinking onset and alcohol dependence: age at onset, duration, and severity. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2006;160(7):739-46. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.160.7.739.
22. Hill SY, Yuan H. Familial density of alcoholism and onset of adolescent drinking. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1999;60(1):7-17.
23. Mohanan P, Swain S, Sanah N, Sharma V, Ghosh D. A Study on the Prevalence of Alcohol Consumption, Tobacco Use and Sexual Behaviour among Adolescents in Urban Areas of the Udipi District, Karnataka, India. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. 2014;14(1):e104-12. doi: 10.12816/0003343.
24. Sau M, Mukherjee A, Manna N, Sanyal S. Sociodemographic and substance use correlates of repeated relapse among patients presenting for relapse treatment at an addiction treatment center in Kolkata, India. *Afr Health Sci*. 2013;13(3):791-9. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v13i3.39.
25. Vohra AK, Yadav BS, Khurana H. A study of psychiatric comorbidity in alcohol dependence. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2003;45(4):247-50.
26. Singh S, Balhara YP. A Review of Indian Research on Co-occurring Psychiatric Disorders and Alcohol use Disorders. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2016;38(1):10-9. doi: 10.4103/0253-7176.175089.
27. Skinner MD, Lahmek P, Pham H, Aubin HJ. Disulfiram efficacy in the treatment of alcohol dependence: a meta-

- analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(2):e87366. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087366.
28. Pilowsky DJ, Keyes KM, Geier TJ, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Stressful Life Events and Relapse Among Formerly Alcohol Dependent Adults. Soc Work Ment Health. 2013;11(2):10.1080/15332985.2012.711278. doi: 10.1080/15332985.2012.711278.
29. Dixit S, Chauhan VS, Azad S. Social Support and Treatment Outcome in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome in Armed Forces. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015;9(11):VC01-VC05. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/14142.6739.
30. Sarkar P, Sudarsanan S, Nath S. Outcome of Treatment of Alcohol Dependence Syndrome Patients in Military Psychiatry Set Up. Med J Armed Forces India. 2004;60(3):247-50. doi: 10.1016/S0377-1237(04)80056-X.